

RESEARCH

Open Access

Resisting infection by *Plasmodium berghei* increases the sensitivity of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* to DDT

Adam Saddler^{1,2,3,4,5*}, Paul-Christian Burda⁶ and Jacob C Koella²

Abstract

Background: The evolution of insecticide resistance threatens current malaria control methods, which rely heavily on chemical insecticides. The magnitude of the threat will be determined by the phenotypic expression of resistance in those mosquitoes that can transmit malaria. These differ from the majority of the mosquito population in two main ways; they carry sporozoites (the infectious stage of the *Plasmodium* parasite) and they are relatively old, as they need to survive the development period of the malaria parasite. This study examines the effects of infection by *Plasmodium berghei* and of mosquito age on the sensitivity to DDT in a DDT-resistant strain of *Anopheles gambiae*.

Methods: DDT-resistant *Anopheles gambiae* (ZANU) mosquitoes received a blood meal from either a mouse infected with *Plasmodium berghei* or an uninfected mouse. 10 and 19 days post blood meal the mosquitoes were exposed to 2%, 1% or 0% DDT using WHO test kits. 24 hrs after exposure, mortality and *Plasmodium* infection status of the mosquitoes were recorded.

Results: Sensitivity to DDT increased with the mosquitoes' age and was higher in mosquitoes that had fed on *Plasmodium*-infected mice than in those that had not been exposed to the parasite. The latter effect was mainly due to the high sensitivity of mosquitoes that had fed on an infected mouse but were not themselves infected, while the sensitivity to DDT was only slightly higher in mosquitoes infected by *Plasmodium* than in those that had fed on an uninfected mouse.

Conclusions: The observed pattern indicates a cost of parasite-resistance. It suggests that, in addition to the detrimental effect of insecticide-resistance on control, the continued use of insecticides in a population of insecticide-resistant mosquitoes could select mosquitoes to be more susceptible to *Plasmodium* infection, thus further decreasing the efficacy of the control.

Keywords: Insecticide, Resistance phenotypes, *Anopheles gambiae*, *Plasmodium berghei*

Background

Mosquito control with insecticides has been an important tool in the fight against malaria since Paul Müller discovered the insecticide properties of DDT in the late 1930s [1]. Bed nets treated with insecticides and indoor residual spraying of insecticide are now the mainstay of malaria control. However, the evolution of insecticide

resistance in mosquitoes threatens the success of these techniques. Resistance to each chemical class of insecticide used for malaria control has now been recorded, and resistance can be found in many malarious regions [2-4]. Understanding the evolution of resistance is therefore crucial to maintain effective programmes of malaria control. To aid this understanding test protocols have been developed, enabling easy identification and monitoring of resistant mosquitoes in the field [5]. Furthermore, many of the molecular mechanisms and genetic factors underlying insecticide-resistance have been identified [6]. It is, however, the phenotypic expression of

* Correspondence: adamsaddler.as@gmail.com

¹Division of Biology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 2PZ, UK

²Faculté des Sciences, Institut de Biologie, Université de Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

resistance that threatens malaria control, so it is crucial that any environmental or demographic effects interacting with the genetic basis of resistance are understood.

Environmental stresses such as temperature [7-9], food source [10,11] and the availability of blood-meals [12] can influence the expression of resistance. Infection by fungal [13] and microsporidian [14] parasites can also increase sensitivity to insecticide. The mechanisms behind the increase in sensitivity to parasitism are unknown, but may include increased metabolic stress caused by the pathogens and the re-allocation of detoxification enzymes from defence against the insecticide towards defence against the parasite [13,14]. It is therefore possible that natural parasites of mosquitoes may also have an impact on the expression of resistance; the most important for malaria control being the malaria parasite itself.

Whether infection by *Plasmodium* affects the expression of resistance has been investigated in two studies, with contradictory results. In one, infection by *Plasmodium yoelii* or *Plasmodium chabaudi* did not change the sensitivity of permethrin-sensitive *Anopheles stephensi* mosquitoes to low doses of permethrin [15]. In contrast, in resistant *Anopheles gambiae* (homozygous for the *kdr* mutation) infection by *Plasmodium falciparum* increased the mortality of mosquitoes after exposure to DDT [16].

The latter study confirms that the sensitivity to insecticides increases with the mosquito's age, [17-24]. It also suggests that in older mosquitoes, that are potentially harbouring sporozoite-stage parasites, the effect of the parasite on resistance decreases (though different analyses gave conflicting results).

The following study investigates the impact of infection by the malaria parasite *Plasmodium berghei* and of the mosquito's age on the phenotypic expression of resistance in mosquitoes that are genetically resistant to the insecticide dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT). The study adds to previous work by (i) comparing the mosquitoes that are infected by malaria and those that have fed on malaria-infected blood but are not themselves infected, and (ii) comparing different doses of the insecticide.

Methods

Experimental design

Experiments were carried out using a DDT-resistant colony of the mosquito *An. gambiae* (ZANU), which has increased metabolism of the insecticide, catalyzed by members of the glutathione S-transferase enzyme family [25]. Infected and uninfected mosquitoes were exposed to filter paper treated with, 2% DDT, 1% DDT or 0% DDT (paper containing oil as a control) for 1 hr using the standard WHO test kits [26]. Insecticide exposures on mosquitoes were carried out 10 or 19 days after blood feeding in order to capture two stages of the

malaria parasite, non-transmissible oocysts (day 10) and infectious sporozoites (day 19). Mosquito survival was recorded 24 hours after exposure.

4% DDT is the standard dose used to discriminate resistant mosquitoes from sensitive mosquitoes [26]. However, resistance decreases strongly with age in the ZANU mosquitoes [21], leading to close to 100% mortality in old mosquitoes. To ensure sufficient variability in the data, the lower doses were used to test the effects of infection and age on sensitivity.

Mosquito rearing

Mosquitoes were reared at a temperature of 26 (+/-2)°C and 70 (+/-10) % relative humidity with a 12 h:12 h light/dark cycle. 1500 larvae were reared individually in 12-well plates and fed Tetramin fish food (0.04 mg at age 1 day, 0.08 mg at age 2, 0.16 mg at age 3, 0.32 mg at age 4, 0.6 mg at ages 5 and older) until pupation.

Pupae were transferred to cages (20 cm × 20 cm × 20 cm). The mosquitoes remained in the emergence cages for 48 hrs to give them time to mate. Then female mosquitoes were separated into 8 cages. Adults were supplied with 10% glucose solution, which was removed 24 hrs before blood feeding on mice when they were 4 or 5 days old.

Blood feeding and infection with *Plasmodium berghei*

24 hrs before blood feeding mosquitoes were transferred to a climate-chamber with the temperature of 19 (+/-2)°C, which is the optimal temperature for *P. berghei* development [27]. Mosquitoes remained in this chamber until the completion of the experiment, including during DDT exposures.

Four Balb/c mice infected with *P. berghei*, expressing Green Fluorescent Protein (PbGFPCON strain, [28]), and four uninfected mice that were, apart from the infection, treated identically were obtained from the Heussler research group, University of Bern, Switzerland. One mouse was assigned haphazardously to each cage and the mosquitoes were allowed to feed for 45 minutes.

24 hours after feeding, the mosquitoes that had taken a blood meal were divided into six cups per cage (one cup per dose and timing of insecticide exposure) and supplied daily with fresh sugar water until they were exposed to the insecticide.

After recording survival (24 hours after the insecticide exposure) the mosquitoes were checked for infection by *Plasmodium* by dissecting the mosquitoes' midguts exposed to *Plasmodium* and counting the oocysts at 100x magnification using a fluorescent microscope. For the mosquitoes exposed to DDT 19 days after the blood meal, the salivary glands were also checked for the presence of sporozoites.

Statistical analysis

The analyses were carried out with R version 3.0.3. The first analysis considered the effect of exposure to the malaria parasite, i.e. the effect of having fed on an infected mouse. Mosquito survival 24 hrs after exposure was analysed with a binomial generalized linear model (GLM) with logit link, corrected for possible over- or underdispersion. Day of exposure, concentration of DDT, exposure to *Plasmodium* and all interactions were included as fixed, nominal factors, and the mouse a mosquito had fed on was included as a random factor that is nested within exposure.

No *Plasmodium* parasites were found in 31% of the exposed mosquitoes, therefore a second analysis was conducted where the effect of infection was considered. The data were grouped into three categories: mosquitoes that had fed on an uninfected mouse, mosquitoes that had fed on an infected mouse but were not infected themselves and mosquitoes that had fed on infected mice and were infected. The mice were not included, as the first analysis showed that they explained less than 1% of the variance and because the design did not allow them to be nested within infection.

Results

The mouse a mosquito had fed on had no effect on the sensitivity to the insecticide, explaining less than 1% of the variance in mortality 24 hours after exposure to the insecticide.

Mosquitoes were more likely to feed on uninfected mice (30 mosquitoes per treatment group) than on infected mice (23 mosquitoes per treatment group). Oocysts we found in 52 out of 69 mosquitoes (on day 10) that had fed on infected mice and sporozoites in 41 out of 66 mosquitoes (on day 19). The difference in infection between days was not significant, ($\chi^2 = 2.77$, $p = 0.1$).

24-hour mortality increased from three out of the 102 mosquitoes that were not exposed to DDT to 89 of the 98 exposed to the highest dose. Mortality was higher on day 19 (69 out of 154) than on day 10 (53 out of 161). Both effects were highly significant (Table 1).

Feeding on a *Plasmodium*-infected mouse increased the mortality from 36% to 44%, though this difference was not quite statistically significant ($p = 0.06$, Table 1). The effect depended strongly on the dose of DDT. With no exposure, mortality was very low (<5%) among mosquitoes that had fed on infected or on uninfected mice; at the highest dose mortality was high (>90%) irrespective of *Plasmodium*-status (Figure 1). However, at the intermediate dose of DDT mosquitoes that had fed on uninfected mice were only slightly affected (17% mortality), whereas 40% of the mosquitoes that had fed on an infected mouse were killed. Although the details of this interaction between exposure to *Plasmodium* and exposure to DDT depend on the age of the mosquito (Table 1), the pattern is similar at the two ages (Figure 1).

A similar pattern was observed in the second analysis where the mosquitoes were analysed according to their infection (Figure 1). In particular, the mortality after exposure to DDT depended on the interaction between *Plasmodium* and the dose of DDT, and this interaction was influenced by age (Table 2). The main result of this analysis was that the mortality of infected mosquitoes was very close to that of control mosquitoes, and that the effect of exposure to *Plasmodium* on DDT-induced mortality was most apparent for mosquitoes that had fed on an infected mouse, but were themselves not infected (Figure 1).

Discussion

This study, with *P. berghei* and *An. gambiae*, found, (i) that sensitivity to insecticide increased with age and exposure to *Plasmodium* parasites, (ii) that infection by the *Plasmodium* parasite had no effect on the expression of insecticide resistance, while the mosquitoes that had fed on *Plasmodium*-infected blood but were not themselves infected were more sensitive than either infected or control mosquitoes and (iii) that *Plasmodium* affected the expression of insecticide similarly in young and old mosquitoes.

That sensitivity increases with age of the mosquito is no surprise, and has been shown in several species of mosquito, in mosquitoes with metabolic resistance and in mosquitoes with target site resistance [18-24].

Table 1 Analysis where the effect of *Plasmodium* was considered as feeding on *Plasmodium*-infected blood

Factor	Df	Deviance	Residual df	Residual deviance	p
<i>Plasmodium</i> -fed	1	2.15	46	255.6	0.064
Dose of DDT	2	201.37	44	54.3	<0.001
Age at challenge by DDT	1	13.68	43	40.6	<0.001
<i>Plasmodium</i> -fed* dose	2	7.96	41	32.7	0.002
<i>Plasmodium</i> -fed* age	1	0.39	40	32.3	0.429
Dose* age	2	1.50	38	30.8	0.302
<i>Plasmodium</i> -fed* dose * age	2	6.37	36	24.4	0.006

*notes interaction term.




Figure 1 Proportion of mosquitoes dying within 24 hours after exposure to 0%, 1% or 2% DDT. Exposures occurred on 10 days (left) or 19 days (right) after blood feeding on mice infected with *Plasmodium*. The diamonds represent mosquitoes that had fed on an uninfected mouse (open diamonds) and those fed on an infected mouse (solid diamonds). The squares consider only the mosquitoes fed on an infected mouse, and represent those in which we found parasites (solid squares) and those that had no parasites (open squares). The vertical lines show the 95% confidence intervals of the proportions.

The effect of *Plasmodium* on sensitivity corroborates one study [15], in which infection by *P. yoelii* or *P. chabaudi* had no effect on sensitivity. However, it contrasts a more recent study on *P. falciparum* [16], where infection increased sensitivity. Several experimental differences may be responsible for the variability of the results: the use of different mosquito and parasite species, the use of different mechanisms of insecticide resistance, the use of different types and doses of insecticides. Whatever the reason, it is clear that more information about the role of such differences is needed before definitive statements about the effect of *Plasmodium* infection on the expression of insecticide-resistance can be made.

Finally, while the quantitative details of the interaction between *Plasmodium* and exposure to the insecticide changed with age (Figure 1), the overall pattern is similar in young and old mosquitoes: the sensitivity of infected

mosquitoes was similar to that of controls, while that of exposed but uninfected mosquitoes was higher. This consistency across ages again contrasts with the age-specific pattern observed by Alout *et al.* [16]. The simplest explanation is that they used a dose of insecticide that killed most mosquitoes when they were old, masking any possible effect of the infection.

That exposed mosquitoes affected the expression of resistance only if they were not infected suggests a trade-off between mounting an effective immune response and surviving DDT exposure. The mosquito's immune response against *Plasmodium* is mediated partly by the expression of detoxification enzymes, particularly cytochrome P450 and the glutathione-S-transferases [29,30]. Such detoxification enzymes are also associated with metabolic resistance against insecticides, which is the main mechanism for the ZAN/U strain used in the current study. Diverting

Table 2 Analysis according to infection status

Factor	Df	Deviance	Residual df	Residual deviance	p
Infection by <i>Plasmodium</i>	2	5.17	64	266.9	0.014
Dose of DDT	2	204.14	62	62.8	<0.001
Age at challenge by DDT	1	12.36	61	50.4	<0.001
Infection* dose	4	7.90	57	42.5	0.011
Infection* age	2	1.16	55	41.3	0.380
Dose* age	2	1.64	53	39.7	0.256
Infection* dose* age	4	6.28	49	33.4	0.034

For infection status three categories were considered: mosquitoes that had fed on uninfected blood, mosquitoes that were infected, and mosquitoes that had fed on infected blood but did not harbour any parasites. *notes interaction term.

these enzymes to the defence against malaria may leave the resistant mosquitoes more vulnerable to insecticide exposure [31].

In addition, immune responses can be energetically costly [32-34], leaving fewer energy reserves to fight the insecticide. Indeed, this cost is expected to be especially high for insecticide-resistant mosquitoes, for resistant mosquitoes store fewer lipids, sugars and energetic reserves than sensitive ones [35], making the cost of the immune response more apparent. Thus, it is hypothesized that the change in expression of detoxification genes induced by infection and the energetic cost of the immune response would lead to a trade-off between the elimination of the parasite and surviving the insecticide.

The most worrying aspect of the study is that it suggests that the ability to clear parasites is associated with lower resistance to insecticides. If this is common in natural populations (although one study suggests that it may not be [16]), continued use of insecticides in populations of insecticide-resistant populations would lead to the death of mostly parasite-resistant mosquitoes, thus increasing the potential for transmission, further enhancing the susceptibility of mosquitoes that evolved as a correlated response to the evolution of insecticide-resistance [36].

The encouraging aspect of the study is that no mosquitoes that fed on infected mice, whether they were subsequently infected or not, survived an exposure to 2% DDT 19 days after the blood meal. The genetically resistant ZANU mosquitoes would therefore be classed as sensitive by the time they can transmit the parasite (according WHO recommendations of 4% DDT as the discriminating dose [26]). If this pattern is similar natural populations, resistant mosquitoes would pose less of a threat than is traditionally believed. However, the resistance of ZANU mosquitoes drops more dramatically with age than what has been observed in other studies [18-20,22-24].

Thus the impact of insecticide-resistance on malaria control may be influenced by the strengths of two opposing forces: (i) decreasing resistance with age, which decreases transmission, and (ii) selection against *Plasmodium* resistant mosquitoes, which increases transmission. This underscores the complexity of ecological factors, such as the costs of insecticide-resistance on the mosquitoes' survival and fecundity, that determine the degree to which insecticide resistance will impact malaria control [37].

Before reaching strong conclusions, it must be understood why different studies with, among others, different mosquito and parasite species and with different insecticide-resistance mechanisms, give contrasting results. Nevertheless, the results here suggest that resistance to *Plasmodium* is traded-off with resistance to insecticides, which would have important consequences for the control of malaria by insecticides.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AS and JCK conceived and designed the study. AS and PCB conducted the experiments. AS and JCK analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

AS was supported by Leverhulme grant F/07 058/BC. Experiments were conducted and funded in part by Université de Neuchâtel, Switzerland. Malaria infected mice were provided by the Heussler research group, University of Bern, Switzerland; we would like to thank Rebecca Stanway for conducting the blood feeding.

Author details

¹Division of Biology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 2PZ, UK. ²Faculté des Sciences, Institut de Biologie, Université de Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland. ³Department of Health Interventions, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse, 57, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland. ⁴Ifakara Health Institute, Box 74, Bagamoyo, Tanzania. ⁵University of Basel, Petersplatz 1, Basel 4003, Switzerland. ⁶Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

Received: 4 March 2015 Accepted: 8 March 2015

Published online: 28 March 2015

References

- Raju TN. The Nobel chronicles. 1948: Paul Hermann Müller (1899-1965). *Lancet*. 1999;353:1196.
- Maxmen A. Malaria surge feared. *Nature*. 2012;485:293.
- Moszyński P. Insecticide resistance threatens malaria control programmes. WHO says *BMJ*. 2012;344:e3416.
- Baleta A. Insecticide resistance threatens malaria control in Africa. *Lancet*. 2009;374:1581-2.
- Aizoun N, Ossè R, Azondekon R, Alia R, Oussou O, Gnanguenon V, et al. Comparison of the standard WHO susceptibility tests and the CDC bottle bioassay for the determination of insecticide susceptibility in malaria vectors and their correlation with biochemical and molecular biology assays in Benin, West Africa. *Parasit Vectors*. 2013;6:147.
- Hemingway J, Hawkes NJ, McCarroll L, Ranson H. The molecular basis of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*. 2004;34:653-65.
- Hodjati MH, Curtis CF. Effects of permethrin at different temperatures on pyrethroid-resistant and susceptible strains of *Anopheles*. *Med Vet Entomol*. 1999;13:415-22.
- Polson KA, Brogdon WG, Rawlins SC, Chadee DD. Impact of environmental temperatures on resistance to organophosphate insecticides in *Aedes aegypti* from Trinidad. *Rev Panam Salud Publica-Pan Am J Public Heal*. 2012;32:1-8.
- Glunt KD, Paaijmans KP, Read AF, Thomas MB. Environmental temperatures significantly change the impact of insecticides measured using WHOPES protocols. *Malar J*. 2014;13:350.
- Bourguet D, Guillemaud T, Chevillon C, Raymond M. Fitness costs of insecticide resistance in natural breeding sites of the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Evolution (N Y)*. 2004;58:128-35.
- Oliver SV, Brooke BD. The effect of larval nutritional deprivation on the life history and DDT resistance phenotype in laboratory strains of the malaria vector *Anopheles arabiensis*. *Malar J*. 2013;12:44.
- Oliver SV, Brooke BD. The effect of multiple blood-feeding on the longevity and insecticide resistant phenotype in the major malaria vector *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasit Vectors*. 2014;7:390.
- Farenhorst M, Mouatcho JC, Kikankie CK, Brooke BD, Hunt RH, Thomas MB, et al. Fungal infection counters insecticide resistance in African malaria mosquitoes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:17443-7.
- Koella JC, Saddler A, Karacs TPS. Blocking the evolution of insecticide-resistant malaria vectors with a microsporidian. *Evol Appl*. 2012;5:283-92.
- Glunt KD, Thomas MB, Read AF. The effects of age, exposure history and malaria infection on the susceptibility of *Anopheles* mosquitoes to low concentrations of pyrethroid. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6:e24968.

16. Alout H, Yameogo B, Djogbénou LS, Chandre F, Dabiré RK, Corbel V, et al. Interplay between Plasmodium infection and resistance to insecticides in vector mosquitoes. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;210:1464–70.
17. Curtis CF, Hodjati MH. Evaluation of the effect of mosquito age and prior exposure to insecticide on pyrethroid tolerance in Anopheles mosquitoes (Diptera : Culicidae). *Bull Entomol Res*. 1999;89:329–37.
18. Rajatileka S, Burhani J, Ranson H. Mosquito age and susceptibility to insecticides. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2011;105:247–53.
19. Rowland M, Hemingway J. Changes in malathion resistance with age in *Anopheles stephensi* from Pakistan. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 1987;28:239–47.
20. Jones CM, Sanou A, Guelbeogo WM, Sagnon N, Johnson PCD, Ranson H. Aging partially restores the efficacy of malaria vector control in insecticide-resistant populations of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. from Burkina Faso. *Malar J*. 2012;11:24.
21. Kulma K, Saddler A, Koella JC. Effects of age and larval nutrition on phenotypic expression of insecticide-resistance in Anopheles mosquitoes. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e58322.
22. Lines JD, Nassor NS. DDT resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* declines with mosquito age. *Med Vet Entomol*. 1991;5:261–5.
23. Chouaibou MS, Chabi J, Bingham GV, Knox TB, N'Dri L, Kesse NB, et al. Increase in susceptibility to insecticides with aging of wild *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes from Cote d'Ivoire. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2012;12:214.
24. Hunt RH, Brooke BD, Pillay C, Koekemoer LL, Coetzee M. Laboratory selection for and characteristics of pyrethroid resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus*. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2005;19:271–5.
25. Ranson H, Jensen B, Vulule JM, Wang X, Hemingway J, Collins FH. Identification of a point mutation in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of Kenyan *Anopheles gambiae* associated with resistance to DDT and pyrethroids. *Insect Mol Biol*. 2000;9:491–7.
26. WHO. Test Procedures for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring in Malaria Vectors, Bio-Efficacy and Persistence of Insecticide Treated Surfaces. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1998.
27. Rastogi M, Pal NL, Sen AB. Effect of variation in temperature on development of *Plasmodium berghei* (NK 65 strain) in *Anopheles stephensi*. *Folia Parasitol (Praha)*. 1987;34:289–97.
28. Franke-Fayard B, Trueman H, Ramesar J, Mendoza J, van der Keur M, et al. A *Plasmodium berghei* reference line that constitutively expresses GFP at a high level throughout the complete life cycle. *Mol Biochem Parasitol*. 2004;137:23–33.
29. Félix RC, Müller P, Ribeiro V, Ranson H, Silveira H. Plasmodium infection alters *Anopheles gambiae* detoxification gene expression. *BMC Genomics*. 2010;11:312.
30. Stevenson BJ, Bibby J, Pignatelli P, Muangnoicharoen S, O'Neill PM, Lian L-Y, et al. Cytochrome P450 6 M2 from the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* metabolizes pyrethroids: Sequential metabolism of deltamethrin revealed. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*. 2011;41:492–502.
31. Farenhorst M, Knols BG, Thomas MB, Howard AF, Takken W, Rowland M, et al. Synergy in efficacy of fungal entomopathogens and permethrin against West African insecticide-resistant *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. *PLoS ONE*. 2010;5:e12081.
32. Hurd H, Taylor PJ, Adams D, Underhill A, Eggleston P. Evaluating the costs of mosquito resistance to malaria parasites. *Evolution*. 2005;59:2560–72.
33. Schmid-Hempel P. Evolutionary ecology of insect immune defenses. *Annu Rev Entomol*. 2005;50:529–51.
34. Sheldon BC, Verhulst S. Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 1996;11:317–21.
35. Rivero A, Magaud A, Nicot A, Vezilier J. Energetic cost of insecticide resistance in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes. *J Med Entomol*. 2011;48:694–700.
36. Ndiath MO, Cailleau A, Diedhiou SM, Gaye A, Boudin C, Richard V, et al. Effects of the kdr resistance mutation on the susceptibility of wild *Anopheles gambiae* populations to *Plasmodium falciparum*: a hindrance for vector control. *Malar J*. 2014;13:340.
37. Rivero A, Vezilier J, Weill M, Read AF, Gandon S. Insecticide control of vector-borne diseases: when is insecticide resistance a problem? *PLoS Pathog*. 2010;6, E1001000.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

